

CA NOS. 10-50219, 10-50264  
IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,  
  
Plaintiff-Appellee/Cross-Appellant,  
  
v.  
  
CHARLES C. LYNCH,  
  
Defendant-Appellant/Cross-Appellee.

DC NO. CR 07-689-GW

---

**APPELLANT LYNCH'S MOTION FOR AN ADDITIONAL 60-DAY  
EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE THE THIRD CROSS-APPEAL BRIEF**

---

APPEAL FROM THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE  
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

HONORABLE GEORGE H. WU  
United States District Judge

HILARY L. POTASHNER  
Federal Public Defender  
JONATHAN D. LIBBY  
Deputy Federal Public Defender  
ALEXANDRA W. YATES  
Deputy Federal Public Defender  
321 East 2nd Street  
Los Angeles, California 90012-4202  
Telephone: (213) 894-2905  
Facsimile: (213) 894-0081  
Email: Jonathan\_Libby@fd.org

Attorneys for Charles C. Lynch

CA NOS. 10-50219, 10-50264  
IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee/Cross-Appellant,

v.

CHARLES C. LYNCH,

Defendant-Appellant/Cross-Appellee.

DC NO. CR 07-689-GW

---

**APPELLANT LYNCH'S MOTION FOR AN ADDITIONAL 60-DAY  
EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE THE THIRD CROSS-APPEAL BRIEF**

---

Defendant-Appellant/Cross-Appellee Charles C. Lynch, by and through counsel of record Deputy Federal Public Defender Jonathan D. Libby, hereby respectfully moves this Court for an additional 60-day extension of time, or until August 16, 2016, to file the third cross-appeal brief. This motion is made pursuant to Rule 27 of the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure and Circuit Rule 31-2(b) and is based on the attached Declaration of Jonathan D. Libby, all files and records in this case, and any further information the Court may request

Respectfully submitted,

HILARY L. POTASHNER  
Federal Public Defender

DATED: June 17, 2016

By: /s/ Jonathan D. Libby  
JONATHAN D. LIBBY  
Deputy Federal Public Defender

**DECLARATION OF JONATHAN D. LIBBY**

I, JONATHAN D. LIBBY, hereby declare and state:

I am a Deputy Federal Public Defender in the Central District of California and due to an extended leave recently begun by Deputy Federal Public Defender Alexandra W. Yates, I was assigned responsibility to represent Defendant-Appellant/Cross-Appellee Charles Lynch in this appeal and to prepare the third cross-appeal (reply/answering) brief on his behalf. I make this declaration in support of our motion for an additional 60-day extension of time to file the third brief on cross-appeal, until August 16, 2016. Following multiple extensions and a stay of the briefing schedule, the third brief on cross-appeal is currently due June 17, 2016. This is the fifth extension of time I have sought since the case was assigned to me to prepare the pending brief. The court reporters are not in default with regard to any designated transcripts. Mr. Lynch is on bond pending appeal and thus not in custody.

Mr. Lynch was prosecuted for and convicted of operating a medical marijuana dispensary lawful under California law. On appeal, Mr. Lynch filed an oversized opening brief of eighty pages in which he raised eight separate challenges to his conviction and sentence, most of which have multiple sub-issues. Two amici curiae filed supporting briefs. The government filed an oversized second cross-appeal brief of 149 pages which raised two additional cross-appeal issues and the responses to many of Mr. Lynch's claims raise issues that Mr.

Lynch will need to address in the first instance in his third cross-appeal brief. In addition, after the filing of those briefs, Mr. Lynch filed a motion in this Court challenging the legality of the government's litigation of this appeal in light of federal legislation (Section 538 of the Consolidated and Further Continuing Appropriations Act, 2015) that prohibits the Department of Justice from spending funds that prevent California, among other states, from implementing its medical marijuana laws. A motions panel of this Court denied that motion without prejudice to renewing it in the third cross-appeal brief; after two new amici curiae, including the authors of the relevant legislation, filed briefs in support of further review, the Court denied en banc review of the issue in June.

Although multiple extensions of time were previously granted to DFPD Yates to prepare the pending brief before she began her extended leave of absence, I have had no prior involvement in this case. As a result, although I have been diligent in this case, because I have been essentially beginning from scratch and dealing with a truly voluminous record in the trial court and in this Court, I continue to require additional time in order to familiarize myself with the lengthy record and numerous complex legal issues raised by both sides and by the amici curiae in this case and then draft the brief.

Moreover, I maintain a heavy load of appeals and habeas matters with pending deadlines and supervise other appeals being prepared by trial attorneys in my office. For example, I will be filing an opening brief on Monday in *Cervantes*

*v. Biter*, CA No. 14-56205, and have opening briefs due on July 5, 2016, in *United States v. Lombardi*, CA No. 15-50520, and *United States v. Price*, CA No. 15-50556, and on July 6, 2016, in *United States Omagbemi*, CA No. 16-50050, and *United States v. Walton*, CA No. 16-50111, among other pending appeals and other matters. I would also note that the appeals unit at the Federal Public Defender Office currently has two attorneys on extended leave resulting in a larger caseload per attorney than usual. While I am doing my best to prepare the instant appeal, as noted, numerous other cases involving incarcerated clients also require my attention.

I therefore request an additional 60 days to file the third cross-appeal brief, until August 16, 2016. I apologize to the Court for the late filing of the motion, but I was out of town for about three weeks, including attending a national training for federal public defenders as well as the ABA's national forum on client protection in my capacity as chair of the State Bar of California's Client Security Fund Commission, and only returned to Los Angeles and to my office this week.

I have not contacted counsel for the government to ascertain its position on this motion because, as set forth in Mr. Lynch's "Motion To Enforce Section 538 of the Consolidated and Further Continuing Appropriations Act, 2015, or in the Alternative for a Limited Remand," it is Mr. Lynch's position that opposing counsel would violate federal statutory and constitutional law if the government

were to expend any resources on this case; although that law expired, it is my understanding that the law referenced in that motion was renewed in the most recent appropriations act passed by Congress.

I declare under the penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct to the best of my knowledge.

Executed this 17th day of June, 2016, at Los Angeles, California.

/s/ Jonathan D. Libby  
JONATHAN D. LIBBY

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on June 17, 2016, I electronically filed the foregoing **APPELLANT LYNCH'S MOTION FOR AN ADDITIONAL 60-DAY EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE THE THIRD CROSS-APPEAL BRIEF** with the Clerk of the Court for the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit by using the appellate CM/ECF system.

I certify that all participants in the case are registered CM/ECF users and that service will be accomplished by the appellate CM/ECF system.

Maribel Bran  
MARIBEL BRAN