Case: 10-50219 02/27/2013 ID: 8530108 DktEntry: 59-1 Page: 1 of 7 (1 of 8)

## IN THE

#### UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

### FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	)	C.A. Nos. 10-50219, 10-50264			
	)	D. Ct. No. CR 07-689-GW			
Plaintiff-Appellee/	)	(Cent. Dist. Calif.)			
Cross-Appellant	)				
	)	GOVERNMENT'S UNOPPOSED MOTION			
V .	)	FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE			
	)	ANSWERING/OPENING BRIEF;			
CHARLES C. LYNCH,	)	DECLARATION OF DAVID KOWAL			
	)				
Defendant-Appellant/	)				
Cross-Appellee.	)				
	)				

Plaintiff-Appellee/Cross-Appellant, United States of America, by and through its counsel of record, hereby moves this Court for an extension of time within which to file the government's answering/opening brief in the above-captioned matter. The government's brief currently is currently due on March 6, 2013. The government requests a 90-day extension of time, such that the brief will be due on June 5, 2013. The request is not opposed by the defendant-appellant.

The motion is made pursuant to Rules 26(b) and 27 of the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure and Rule 31-2.2(b) of the

Rules of the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit and is based on the files and records in this case and the attached declaration of David Kowal.

DATED: February 27, 2013 Respectfully submitted,

ANDRÉ BIROTTE JR. United States Attorney

ROBERT E. DUGDALE Assistant United States Attorney Chief, Criminal Division

s/ David Kowal DAVID KOWAL Assistant United States Attorney Organized Crime Drug Enforcement Task Force Section

Attorneys for Plaintiff-Appellee UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

## DECLARATION OF DAVID KOWAL

I, DAVID KOWAL, hereby declare and state as follows:

1. I am an Assistant United States Attorney (AUSA) in the Central District of California.

2. I am responsible for preparing for the government the second cross-appeal brief in <u>United States v. Charles Lynch</u>, C.A. Nos. 10-50219 and 10-50263. Pursuant to this Court's July 26, 2012 order granting defendant's motion to file a late, oversized first cross-appeal opening brief, the second cross-appeal brief was originally due on August 27, 2012, and then extended by orders of the Court at the government's request to March 6, 2013. For the reasons set forth below, the government requests a 90-day extension of time to file that brief such that the brief will be due on June 5, 2013. This is the government's third request for an extension. The period requested is the minimum amount of time the government believes that it will need to complete its work on its second cross-appeal brief, including consulting with other offices of the Department of Justice.

3. Previously, after a delay granted due to default in preparation of transcripts by the court reporter, this Court granted defendant 12 further extensions of time to file his opening brief which totaled a period of approximately one year, nine months. Defendant was also granted leave to file a late and oversized opening brief.

4. The government requests a 90-day extension in order to complete its preparation and review of its answering/opening brief in this matter.

5. The following circumstances, among others, support the requested extension of time:

(A) In addition to filing oversized brief, defendant has filed 16 volumes of excerpts of record which has added to the complexity of the appeal and the time needed to re-familiarize myself with the record, review the legal issues, and prepare the government's brief. Moreover, two amicus briefs have been filed on behalf of the defendant, including one brief since the government's last extension. Together these briefs further added to the difficulty of preparing the government's brief. Although I was one of the trial counsel in this matter, due to the trial court extensively extending the sentencing proceedings and the issuance of its final judgment, and the prior extensions granted to defendant on appeal, I have had to continue to spend substantial time re-familiarizing myself with the relevant facts, law, and record in this case, which took place several years ago.

(B) Because this case involves a cross appeal by the government, in addition to my review the record in the case, as well as the relevant case law on the issues presented, I need additional time to finish consulting further with AUSAs in the Criminal Appeals Section regarding the cross-appeal. That

process, which I have is not yet complete due to my other ongoing responsibilities and the other factors set forth herein, also involves the need to engage in extensive consultation within our office with the Chief of the Criminal Appeals Section, the Chief of the Criminal Division, the First Assistant United States Attorney, and the United States Attorney. Further, on the crossappeal, our office will also have to work with the Criminal Division of the Department of Justice in Washington, D.C. and the Office of Solicitor General. This process will add to the time of preparing the government's brief in this case.

(C) I have been and remain the government's sole remaining trial counsel, and am responsible for working on the appeals in <u>United States v. Manual Yepiz et. al</u>, C.A. Nos. 07-50051, 07-50062, 07-50063 07-50067, 07-50070, 07-50098, 07-50133, 07-50142, 07-50264. That matter is the consolidated appeal of nine defendants from a complex, two-month racketeering trial. In that case, the appellants have filed a joint opening brief of over 150 pages as well as individual briefs for each of the nine defendants collectively totaling several hundred more pages. I will continue to need to spend substantial time assisting in the preparation of the government's consolidate brief in that case, which I anticipate will have to address at least two dozen discrete legal issues, including sufficiency of evidence claims, sentencing and suppression issues. Among my responsibilities in

district court, I am sole trial counsel in United States v. Marquez-Marquez, CR No. 10-370-DMG, a multi-defendant wiretap investigation that has been designated as a complex case by the district court. This case was initiated by another AUSA no longer with this office. In that matter, in addition to my responsibilities for learning the case, I have been handling the sentencing of a half dozen defendants currently before the trial court, and managing the investigation of fugitive defendants believed to be in other countries. I also have a trial for one defendant in that matter, set now for June 18, 2013. Further, I have been responsible and assigned to several complex pre-trial, non-public investigations over the last several months. These have include large criminal enforcement projects which typically require substantial daily direct involvement and management such as the approval of time-sensitive warrants and related court These pretrial matters, and the other responsibilities process. set forth above, will continue to require substantial work and effort that cannot reasonably be delayed or reassigned.

6. I have exercised and will continue to exercise diligence with respect to this appeal, and will work to assure that, absent unforseen circumstances, this is the government's final extension request. I am eager to complete the government's brief in this matter and move the matter forward, but need the requested time to properly represent the government. This

request is not meant for the purposes of delay.

7. On February 26, 2012, I spoke to defendant's counsel, Alexandra Yates by telephone about the requested extension. Ms. Yates replied by e-mail that she had no objection to the request.

8. The defendant is presently on bond pending appeal.

9. The court reporter is not in default with respect to any transcripts.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

EXECUTED February 27, 2013, in Los Angeles, California.

Case: 10-50219	02/27/2013	ID: 8530108	DktEntry: 59-2	Page: 1 of 1	(8 of 8)
0430.10 30213	02/21/2010	10.0000100	DREITHY. 00 Z	ruge. I of I	
9th Circuit Case Number	c(s) 10-50219; 1	0-50264			
<b>NOTE:</b> To secure your input	ut, you should print	the filled-in form t	o PDF (File > Print :	> PDF Printer/Cre	ator).
******	**********	*******	***********	**********	******
	CERTIFI	CATE OF SE	RVICE		
When All Case Par	ticipants are R	legistered for	the Appellate (	CM/ECF Syst	em
I hereby certify that I elec United States Court of Ap	~	0 0			

on (date) Feb 27, 2013

I certify that all participants in the case are registered CM/ECF users and that service will be accomplished by the appellate CM/ECF system.

Signature (use "s/" format)

\*\*

/s/David Kowal

# CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

When Not All Case Participants are Registered for the Appellate CM/ECF System

I hereby certify that I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of the Court for the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit by using the appellate CM/ECF system on (date)

Participants in the case who are registered CM/ECF users will be served by the appellate CM/ECF system.

I further certify that some of the participants in the case are not registered CM/ECF users. I have mailed the foregoing document by First-Class Mail, postage prepaid, or have dispatched it to a third party commercial carrier for delivery within 3 calendar days to the following non-CM/ECF participants: