```
THOMAS P. O'BRIEN
 1
    United States Attorney
    CHRISTINE C. EWELL
    Assistant United States Attorney
    Chief, Criminal Division
 3
    DAVID P. KOWAL (State Bar No. 188651)
 4
    RASHA GERGES
                    (State Bar No. 218248)
    Assistant United States Attorneys
 5
    OCDETF Section
         1400 United States Courthouse
 6
         312 North Spring Street
         Los Angeles, California 90012
         Telephone: (213) 894-5136/6530 Facsimile: (213) 894-0142
 7
 8
         E-mail:
                      David.Kowal@usdoj.gov
                      Rasha.Gerges@usdoj.gov
 9
    Attorneys for Plaintiff
10
    UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
11
                       UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
12
                  FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
13
    UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
                                         No. CR 07-689-GW
14
                    Plaintiff,
                                         GOVERNMENT'S RESPONSE TO
                                         INOUIRY BY THE COURT REGARDING
                                         SENTENCING
15
                    v.
    CHARLES C. LYNCH, et al.,
16
17
                    Defendants.
18
         The United States, by and through its counsel of record, the
19
    United States Attorney for the Central District of California,
20
21
    hereby responds to the Court's order regarding the sentencing of
22
    Charles C. Lynch ("defendant") as set forth during the March 23,
23
    2009 hearing and March 27, 2009 telephone conferences in the
24
    above-captioned case:
```

1. The enforcement policies of the Department of Justice ("DOJ"), including those expressed by the United States
Attorney's Office ("USAO"), or by Attorney General Holder on this topic, do not confer any rights or defenses on any person.

25

26

27

28

- 2. Nevertheless, at the request of the USAO, in response to the Court's inquiries, the Office of the Deputy Attorney General has reviewed the facts of this case and determined that the investigation, prosecution, and conviction of defendant are entirely consistent with the policies of DOJ and with public statements made by the Attorney General with respect to marijuana prosecutions. Accordingly, the USAO has been instructed to proceed with the sentencing recommendations previously filed in this case. A letter from DOJ in Washington D.C. confirming these points is attached hereto.
- 3. In light of this filing, the government notes that, in accord with the Court's Order of April 3, 2009, sentencing in this matter shall proceed on April 23, 2009 at 10:30 a.m.

14 Dated: April 17, 2009

Respectfully submitted,

THOMAS P. O'BRIEN United States Attorney

CHRISTINE C. EWELL Assistant United States Attorney Chief, Criminal Division

_____/s/ DAVID P. KOWAL

RASHA GERGES
Assistant United States Attorneys
Attorneys for Plaintiff
United States of America



U.S. Department of Justice

Executive Office for United States Attorneys

Office of the Director

Room 2261, RFK Main Justice Building 950 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20530 (202) 514-2121

APR 17 2009

Hon. Thomas P. O'Brien United States Attorney Central District of California United States Courthouse 312 North Spring Street, 12th Floor Los Angeles, California 90012

Re:

United States v. Charles C. Lynch, et al.,

No. CR 07-689-GW

Dear Mr. O'Brien:

This responds to your request for guidance in responding to questions from the court in the above-captioned case as to whether recent public statements by the Attorney General indicate a change in Department of Justice policy that would impact the sentencing of Mr. Lynch.

In response to your request, the Office of the Deputy Attorney General reviewed the facts of this case to determine whether the prosecution of Mr. Lynch comports with the Department of Justice's policies with respect to marijuana prosecutions. Based on the facts of this case, the Office of the Deputy Attorney General concurs with your office that the investigation, prosecution, and conviction of Mr. Lynch are entirely consistent with Department policies as well as public statements made by the Attorney General. Accordingly, you should seek to proceed with the sentencing recommendations which your office has filed with the court.

If you have any questions regarding any of the above, please let me know.

Sincerely,

H. Marshall Jarrett

Director